S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3594/2006
S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3595/2006
S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3596/2006
S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3597/2006

Date : 29.08.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Sandeep Mehta)
Mr. Dharmendra Surana) for the applicant.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.

All the above four matters arising out of matters pertaining to black-marketing of diesel and the same have been registered at one Police Station Khedapa, District Jodhpur, as such same are decided together by this common order.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the case diary and the impugned order.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the instant case infact the applicant has been wrongly involved in the case because in the matter FIR disclosed that

the person named in the FIR was Pursottam and mobile number is also different then of the petitioner, therefore, entire story of the prosecution is false and the accused petitioner may be given benefit of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that evidence so far as collected reveals that Satya Narain is the same person who is named in FIR as Pursottam, therefore, to find out the details as to who other persons are involved in this case interrogation is required.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I deem it proper to pass following order :

1. The applicant shall surrender himself before the Investigating Officer/SHO in FIR No.89, 90, 91 and 92/2006, Police Station Khedapa, District Jodhpur.

2. The Investigating Officer, upon surrendering the accused applicant, shall produce him before the concerned Court and the concerned Court, in case

application is moved for bail, shall decide the same on very same day in accordance with law.

The bail application stands dismissed as not pressed.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant